EXHIBIT 314

1	CONFIDENTIAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	CASE NUMBER: 22-CV-10904-JSR
4	ACTION FOR DAMAGES
5	
6	GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES) VIRGIN ISLANDS,
7	Plaintiff,)
8	VS.)
9	JP MORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,
10	Defendant.)
11	'
12	
13	CONFIDENTIAL
14	
15	VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF
16	DENISE GEORGE
17	MONDAY, JULY 17, 2023
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY:
21	DENISE D. HARPER-FORDE
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter (CSR) Certified RealTime Reporter (CRR)
23	Certified LiveNote Reporter (CLR) Registered Professional Reporter (RPR)
24	Notary Public (FLORIDA)
25	



1	THE WITNESS: I do not know.
2	I did not look to reassess the
3	tier. My my understanding when
4	I got there in reviewing it, there
5	was no indication to me
6	necessarily that, you know, it
7	would need to be reassessed.
8	He was already established,
9	and he had been there for quite
LO	some time in a Tier 1. And it was
L1	established previously, and there
L2	was nothing that came before me
L3	that would have expected that we
L4	would need to change that.
L5	(BY ATTORNEY O'LAUGHLIN):
L6	Q. But the waivers were being
L7	reassessed?
L8	A. I don't
L9	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Object to
20	form.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know
22	what you just said. I do not
23	necessarily agree with that, that
24	the waivers were being reassessed.
25	What I know is that when I became



Attorney General, it was brought 1 2 to me that Mr. Epstein was 3 requesting a waiver. 4 I was not re- -- necessarily 5 wanting to reassess anything. 6 was requesting a waiver, and a 7 waiver to reduce -- in my 8 discretion, to -- to reduce or --9 or to -- to waive altogether his 10 requirements, his reporting 11 requirements for travel. That is 12 it. 13 So that's what's before me. 14 What's before me wasn't, Oh, can 15 you reassess what, you know, this 16 person did or assess what that 17 It's before me person did. No. 18 for me to then make a decision. 19 Because whether or not anybody 20 else made a decision on it, at the 21 end of the day, I was the Attorney 22 General then, And the law requires 23 that it be done at the -- at the 24 discretion of the Attorney General 25 if there's information sufficient,



1	you know, to, I guess, convince
2	the Attorney General that there is
3	proof sufficient to show that
4	there is business that's being
5	done internationally or that he
6	has to travel internationally for
7	legitimate business or for any
8	other legitimate purpose.
9	So I took it as something I
LO	have to make a decision on, not
L1	necessarily that I'm assessing
L2	anybody else's.
L3	(BY ATTORNEY O'LAUGHLIN):
L4	Q. So is it fair to say that the
L5	reason that you were looking at the
L6	waivers was because that had been
L7	specifically brought up, but there was
L8	no reason to look at the tiers because
L9	no one had specifically brought that
20	to your attention?
21	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Objection
22	to form.
23	THE WITNESS: There was
24	nothing brought to my attention or
25	nothing that came to me that would



1 or, you know, that sort of thing would 2 have been going on on the island. 3 Ο. And then what did you do after you found out it came back that there 4 were no complaints? 5 I just -- well, I quess 6 Α. 7 personally I didn't -- well, what I 8 did was at that point in time, I just 9 started to really read a lot of the --10 of the reports, and it's -- it's news 11 media reports. 12 Which is fairly hearsay to 13 hear -- to just get the gist of what 14 is being said even though I know that 15 you can't -- I can't just rely on --16 on news media. I can't do that. 17 You know, it's rumor, 18 innuendo. But to see where they're 19 getting this information from and 20 what's happening because it became 21 even more of a curiosity for me and 22 thinking this is something we -- we 23 got to do something about, you know. 24 I don't know what happened then, but I can't sit here and hear 25



1	that all this happened, especially
2	when under our statutes, sexual
3	assaults and and child abuse are
4	have no statute of limitations.
5	So it wouldn't have been too
6	late to do anything if we had solid
7	evidence, because I can't go by
8	innuendo. I can't go by rumor. I
9	can't go by media reports and say,
10	Okay, you know, let's, you know, do an
11	investigation.
12	And then I was also critically
13	short-staffed as well. I didn't have
14	the resources either. But it was
15	really where I was really
16	contemplating what can be done.
17	But once learning or
18	understanding that the federal
19	authorities were investigating
20	Mr. Epstein and prosecuting
21	criminally, and there was there
22	might have been a criminal
23	investigation going on.
24	That is something that I would
25	not do that it sort of preempts



1	going and doing something when once
2	the federal authorities or any other
3	authorities have started a criminal
4	investigation or that prosecutors.
5	They made the arrest. Then
6	that's sort of under, I think it's
7	called the First Forum Rule. It's
8	it's like in respect to the other
9	forum, that you do not you know, we
10	won't go and, you know, start up a
11	prosecution when there's one already
12	there.
13	So it's been it pleased me
14	to see that something was being done
15	with law enforcement organization that
16	had the resources to be able to do it.
17	So once I learned that that was
18	happening. Okay, then at least
19	something is being done. There's a
20	prosecution.
21	And then I was very you
22	know, try to be in-tuned with what it
23	is and what the charges were once he
24	was arrested, and looking forward to
25	seeing Jeffrey Epstein brought to



1	justice. But also hearing more about
2	what happened and what part Little St.
3	James may have played in it.
4	Q. How did you learn that a
5	federal investigation was being
6	done?
7	A. I did not learn it for sure,
8	but I I don't recall exactly how
9	there were certain questions that
10	might have been asked or something
11	that I I just sensed that there was
12	an investigation going on.
13	And I can't and it's not
14	something that I could would even
15	disclose, of course, even if I knew.
16	But I sensed that one was going on.
17	Q. Questions asked by who?
18	A. I can't tell you that. You
19	know, I mean, if there I just don't
20	recall exactly, but I think something
21	I read or something and in my mind I
22	said, Okay. That means that the
23	federal authorities must be
24	investigating.
25	That was my conclusion. It



1	must have been from the media that I'd
2	been reading and the way things were
3	going, different things that were
4	said, that, you know, there's a
5	possibility that that's happening.
6	At the same time, Jeffrey
7	Epstein had gone on another trip with
8	our well, which he had reported to
9	Europe. And so I recall speaking with
10	Shani and saying, you know, all the
11	reports she assured me that he did
12	do the reporting as required.
13	But yeah, I sensed that. And
14	it turned out that my senses, my hunch
15	came out to be true because then he
16	was arrested July, in July. I think
17	July 10th.
18	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN: Counsel,
19	when you get a chance, I could use
20	a break. I'm not saying I'm
21	not asking for one now, just
22	saying when it's a good
23	THE WITNESS: Sure.
24	ATTORNEY ACKERMAN:
25	breaking point.

